	1	
1	Josef D. Cooper (53015)	
2	Tracy R. Kirkham (69912) John D. Bogdanov (215830)	
3	COOPER & KIRKHAM, P.C. 357 Tehama Street, Second Floor	
4	San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: (415) 788-3030	
	Facsimile: (415) 882-7040	
5	jdc@coopkirk.com trk@coopkirk.com	
6	jdb@coopkirk.com	
7	Francis O. Scarpulla (41059)	
8		
9	456 Montgomery Street, 17 th Floor	
10	San Francisco, ČA 94111 Telephone: (415) 788-7210	
11	Facsimile: (415) 788-0706 <u>fos@scarpullalaw.com</u>	
12	pbc@scarpullalaw.com	
	Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs	
13		
14	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
17	~~~~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	022 0 0 2 1 1 20 20 1 1
18	In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. 3:07-cv-5944 JST
19		MDL No. 1917
20	This Document Relates to:	DECLARATION OF JOSEF D. COOPER
$_{21}$	All Indirect-Purchaser Actions	IN SUPPORT OF MOTION RE: APPOINTMENT OF CO-LEAD CLASS
22		COUNSEL FOR INDIRECT- PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS WITH
		CLAIMS IN NON-REPEALER STATES
23		Date: January 21, 2016 Time: 2:00 p.m.
24		Judge: The Hon. Jon S. Tigar Courtroom: 9, 19 th Floor
25		Courtroom: 9, 19 F100f
26		
27		
	PEGLAPATION OF LOGEED, GOODED IN	M . E'I N 2.07 . 5044 ICE

28 DECLARATION OF JOSEF D. COOPER IN SUPPORT OF MOTION RE: APPOINTMENT OF CO-LEAD CLASS COUNSEL

Master File No. 3:07-cv5944 JST MDL 1917

I, Josef D. Cooper, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a member in good standing of the State Bar of California, and licensed to practice in the states of California, Illinois and Hawaii. I am a Principal in Cooper & Kirkham, P.C. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Declaration and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to them. I make this Declaration in Support of Motion Re: Appointment of Co-Lead Class Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs with Claims in Non-Repealer States ("Motion") (Dkt. 4241).
- 2. Pursuant to footnote 5 at page 3 of the Motion, Francis Scarpulla and I (and members of our offices) conferred with representatives of the Attorneys General of Illinois, Oregon and Washington on three occasions December 17, 2015, December 21, 2015, and December 23, 2015 regarding the representation of indirect purchasers of CRTs who are residents of those States.
- 3. During those conversations, we discussed the claims that would be prosecuted under federal law, the claims that would be advanced by the Attorneys General under state law, the relevant statutory and case law, the status of the Attorneys General's state court actions, and issues that might arise as a result of both advancing different claims for the same people in two separate courts.
- 4. Based on those conversations and legal research, I believe that the claims being advanced by the Attorneys General under state law are sufficiently similar to the federal claims to be prosecuted in the above-captioned action so that the interests of Illinois, Oregon and Washington residents will be adequately represented by the Attorneys General in their state court actions. The Attorneys General have represented that they will continue to vigorously prosecute these actions on behalf of their residents *parens patriae*.